PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTH	ORITY			受什
To:			PCT	16.11.15
see form PCT/ISA/220	·		EN OPINION (OF THE IG AUTHORITY
			PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .	
·		Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	form PCT/ISA/210 (see	cond sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/JP2004/007535	International filing date (c. 26.05.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/mor 26.05.2003	nth/year)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or H01L33/00	both national classification	and IPC		
Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC WORK	S, LTD.			
This opinion contains indicati Box No. I Basis of the opinion.	_	owing items:		
☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establish	ment of opinion with regar	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial	applicability
☑ Box No. V Reasoned state	tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations	s.1(a)(i) with regard to a s supporting such state	novelty, inventive ste	p or industrial
☐ Box No. VI Certain docum	ents cited			•
☐ Box No. VII Certain defect	s in the international app	lication		
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the internation	nal application		
2. FURTHER ACTION		•		
If a demand for international pre written opinion of the Internation the applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered.	al Preliminary Examining ity other than this one to	g Authority ("IPEA"). H b be the IPEA and the c	owever, this does no chosen IPEA has not	ot apply where tifed the
If this opinion is, as provided about submit to the IPEA a written replaymenths from the date of mailing whichever expires later.	ly together, where appro	priate, with amendmen	nts, before the expira	ation of three
For further options, see Form PC	CT/ISA/220.		_	
3. For further details, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•
	· . ·			
·				
Name and mailing address of the ISA:		Authorized Officer		

European Patent Office
D-80298 Munich
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

Krause, J

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2829



10/558360 IAP12 Rec'd PCT/PTO 25 NOV 2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/007535

_	Box No. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material:
	□ a sequence listing
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material:
	☐ in written format
	☐ in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing:
	☐ contained in the international application as filed.
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/007535

	x No. II	Priority						
. Ø		lowing document ha	as not bee	n furnished	· .	*-	-	
		J			• •	1.00 1.40	06'- 4 1 00 7())	
	⊠	copy of the earlier	application	n wnose pr	iority nas been clain	nea (Ruie 4:	3 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).	
	. 🗖	translation of the e	arlier appl	ication who	se priority has beer	n claimed (R	Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b	o)).
							im. This opinion has claimed priority date.	
-	has be		ıles 43 <i>bis</i> .	.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purpo		e fact that the priority copinion, the internation	
. Ad	ditional c	bservations, if nece	essary:					
	x No. V lustrial a				bis.1(a)(i) with regards such		elty, inventive step or t	•
. Sta	tement					·	·	
No	velty (N)		Yes:	Claims	3-7, 11-27			
No [,]	velty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	3-7, 11-27 1,2,8-10			
	velty (N)			Claims	•	·.		
	,		No:	Claims	1,2,8-10			
Inv	entive st	ep (IS)	No: Yes: No:	Claims Claims Claims	1,2,8-10 15-20, 22-24 1-14, 21,25-27			
inv	entive st		No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims	1,2,8-10 15-20, 22-24			
inv	entive st	ep (IS)	No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims	1,2,8-10 15-20, 22-24 1-14, 21,25-27			
Inv	entive st	ep (IS) pplicability (IA)	No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims	1,2,8-10 15-20, 22-24 1-14, 21,25-27			
Inv	entive st ustrial a _l	ep (IS) pplicability (IA) nd explanations	No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims	1,2,8-10 15-20, 22-24 1-14, 21,25-27			
Inv	entive st ustrial a _l	ep (IS) pplicability (IA)	No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims	1,2,8-10 15-20, 22-24 1-14, 21,25-27			
Inv	entive st ustrial a _l	ep (IS) pplicability (IA) nd explanations	No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims	1,2,8-10 15-20, 22-24 1-14, 21,25-27			
Inv	entive st ustrial a _l	ep (IS) pplicability (IA) nd explanations	No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims	1,2,8-10 15-20, 22-24 1-14, 21,25-27			

Form PCT/IPEA/237 (January 2004)

see separate sheet

10/558360 IAP12 Rec'd PCT/PTO 25 NOV 2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/007535

Concerning Section V:

I. Claims 1 and 10:

- 1. The document US-B-6 498 355, which will be referred to as D1 in the following procedure, describes (cf. column 2, line 49, to column 5, line 39, and Fig. 2) a light-emitting device comprising a submount comprising a mount base (30), at least one light-emitting diode chip (28) mounted thereon, and electrically conducting lines (34, 36) formed on the mount base (30) to be electrically connected to the light-emitting diode chip (28), and a first plate (8, 10, 6) for heat transfer comprising a metallic plate (6), wherein a first plane of the mount base (30) opposed to the metallic plate (6) of the first plate is bonded thermally to said first plate.
- 2. As a consequence, all the features of claim 1 are anticipated by document D1, and therefore claim 1 is not considered to meet the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.
 - The additional feature of dependent claim 10 is also known from document D1.
 Therefore claim 10 is not considered to meet the requirements of Article 33(2) and
 (3) PCT either.

II. Claims 2 to 9:

1. The light-emitting device according to document D1 describes also that the first plate (8, 10, 6) for heat transfer comprises the metallic plate (6), an insulator layer (10) formed thereon, and an electrical connection pattern layer (8) formed on the insulator layer (10), the first plane of the mount base (30) of said submount is bonded thermally to a portion of the metallic plate (6) of said first plate exposed at a side opposed to said submount by removing the insulator layer and the pattern layer, and the electrically conducting lines (34, 36) of said submount are electrically connected to the electrical connection pattern layer (8) of said first plate by vias (38, 40).

Thus all the features of claim 2 are known from document D1, and therefore claim 2 is not considered to meet the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.

2. The document US-A-5 172 301, which will be referred to as D2 in the following procedure, is concerned with the cooling of semiconductor devices in general and would routinely be consulted by a person skilled in the art faced with the problem of cooling a light-emitting semiconductor device. In the cooling arrangement according to document D2 (cf. column 2, line 62, to column 5, line 2, and Figs 2 to 6) a first plate for heat transfer (314) has a protrusion (314b) which has a plane to bond thermally to a mount base (306), wherein the mount base (306) has a recess, so that the protrusion (314b) fits into the recess to bond thermally between them. The additional features of claims 3 and 4 are therefore anticipated by document D2.

As a consequence, claims 3 and 4 are not considered to meet the requirement of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 3. The additional feature of claim 5 belongs to the routine knowledge of a person skilled in the art of light-emitting device packaging. Claim 5 is therefore not considered to meet the requirement of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 4. The additional feature of claims 6 and 7 are known from document D2. Claims 6 and 7 are therefore not considered to meet the requirement of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 5. The additional features of claims 8 and 9 are known from document D1, where a metallic member (24) of solder is described to bond the mount base and the metallic plate. Therefore claims 8 and 9 are not considered to meet the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.

III. Claims 11 to 20:

The document Patent Abstracts of Japan, vol. 8, No. 49 (E-230); JP-A-58 201 383, which will be referred to as D3 in the following procedure, describes a semiconductor light-emitting device comprising a groove on a plane opposite to the substrate to which the light-emitting device is bonded. The groove is filled with thermally highly conductive brazing material to increase the heat removal rate.

The person skilled in the art would routinely employ such a groove filled with thermally conductive material also in the mount base according to document D1 instead of the substrate of the light-emitting device itself to further increase the heat conductivity between the mount base and the first plate. He would thus obtain a device with all the features of claim 11 without employment of inventive thought. Claim 11 is thus not considered to meet the requirement of Article 33(3) PCT.

- Since the groove described in document D3 is obtained by an etching process, the shape specified in claim 12 is obtained when the parameters of the etching are set accordingly. Claim 12 is thus not considered to meet the requirement of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 3. The additional feature of claim 13 is known from document D3 as well. Therefore claim 13 is not considered to meet the requirement of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 4. The additional features of claim 14 belong to the routine knowledge of a person skilled in the art or are evident from document D3. Therefore claim 14 is not considered to meet the requirement of Article 33(3) PCT either.
- 5. The concentration of the grooves underneath the light-emitting chip location according to claims 15 to 20 is not known nor rendered obvious by the available prior art. Claims 15 to 20 are therefore considered to meet the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.

IV. Claims 21 to 27:

- The document Patent Abstracts of Japan, vol. 16, No. 236 (E-1210);& JP-A-4 048 740, which will be referred to as D4 in the following procedure, describes a second plate (7) for heat transfer, which a person skilled in the art would understand as a metallic plate, which is bonded thermally to a second plane of a submount comprising a chip (1) and a mount base (2). The person skilled in the art would employ the second plate for heat transfer of document D4 also in a device as described in document D1 and thus routinely obtain a device with all the features of claim 21. Claim 21 is therefore not considered to meet the requirement of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2. The additional features of claims 22 to 24 are neither known nor rendered obvious by the available prior art. Claims 22 to 24 therefore appear to meet the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.

- 3. The additional feature of claim 25 falls into the competence of an average practitioner. Claim 25 is thus not considered to meet the requirement of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 4. The additional features of claims 26 and 27 are known from document D2. Claims 26 and 27 do therefore not appear to meet the requirement of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.

Concerning Section VII:

- Independent claim 1 is not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 2. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 3. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1 to D4 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.